



Bristol Health & Wellbeing Board

Sexual Health Re	-procurement AGENDA ITEM 9									
Author, including	Barbara Coleman, Service manager, Public									
organisation	Health, Bristol City Council									
Date of meeting	16 th December 2015									
Report for Information										

1. Purpose of this Paper

The purpose of this paper is to update the board on progress so far in the re-procurement process and provide details of the next steps.

2. Executive Summary

Commissioning sexual health services became a mandatory function of the local authority in April 2013. The value of the services is such that under EU procurement law we are required to put the services out to tender to ensure we are getting best value for money. We are currently in the "pre-procurement" phase of the process and draft specifications are being consulted upon between November 2015 and January 2016. Following this consultation, re-commendations with come to the Health and Wellbeing Board on 17th February 2016 for a key decision on whether to re-procure the services.

3. Context

Sexual health is one of six mandatory services which local authorities must provide for. From April 2013, contracts which were already in place with service providers were transferred under a Transfer Scheme from PCTs to local authorities. Bristol City Council is the lead commissioner of specialist sexual health services provided by University Hospitals Bristol (UHB) Trust with North Somerset and South Gloucestershire as co-commissioners through a shared contract. Each local authority public health team also commissions other sexual health services in partnership and independently for example, from the voluntary sector and primary care contractors (GPs and Pharmacists).

4. The Procurement Process

Each local authority is updating their local sexual health strategies and have undertaken local health needs assessments for sexual health in preparation for further improvements in commissioning and delivering services. From this, Bristol developed a Sexual Health Commissioning Plan which was consulted on between August and October 2015. Following feedback on the plan, service specifications have been drawn up which are now out for consultation across Bristol, North Somerset and South Gloucestershire (BNSSG) between November 2015 and January 2016. By March 2016, we should be in a position to sign off the service model and specifications with a view to service reprocurement commencement in April 2016. Decisions about the procurement options and route will be taken following the consultation. This will include what is in scope and what is excluded from the process. It is anticipated that the reprocurement will be complete by March 2017. See attached Programme Timeline (Appendix A).

5. Progress so far

A draft service specification (see link below to consultation where service spec can be accessed) has been developed which includes our vision for future services and what the service model must include (see appendix B for proposed service model). We are now seeking feedback and views on this from all key stakeholders including current and future providers, service users and other key partners.

The consultation commenced on 9th November 2015. The link to the consultation can be found here (click here). We have already had over 80 responses to the consultation. A market warming event for current and potential providers took place on 10th November. The event was very well attended with over 70 people attending.

We commissioned Healthwatch to set up and run a number of focus groups between November and January to get the views of particularly hard to reach groups. Additional events have been set up for potential and current providers on 16th December. The Care Forum is also hosting an event for providers on 9th December.

Key risks and Opportunities

The key risks of re-commissioning the sexual health services are set out below, together with actions to mitigate risks. One of the biggest risks currently is that our public health grant has been reduced during this financial year, with further reductions likely in the future. Our partner LAs are planning to significantly reduce their investment across all programme areas to manage the reduction in funding. We will be in a better position to understand our financial position before the bidding process commences, but reduced funding might compromise the level of services we can expect.

Risk	Mitigation
Existing providers withdraw from	All providers will be engaged in
service provision due to	the process through stakeholder
uncertainty of future	events and regular meetings
commissioning intentions	with commissioners
No interest in the market to	Stakeholder events will include
provide the services	a wide range of providers both
	in the local area and national
	organisations
New service(s) not in place by	Allow for extension period to
April 2017	existing contract to cover any
	slippage
All bids exceed funding	Declare funding available as
available	part of tender. Tailor
	specification to funding available
Challenge from unsuccessful	Seek advice from procurement
suppliers	to ensure process if compliant
	and fair
Poor specification	Allow sufficient time in the
	process to ensure a robust
	consultation with all
	stakeholders
Selected supplier becomes	Ensure finance involved in
insolvent	assessment of eligible bidders
Funding is not available to	Ensure funding requirements
support this work	included in MTFP

The key opportunities arising from the process include ensuring the right services are provided in the right places to the right people and that we look for economies of scale through a joint procurement and ensure the services provide the best value for money.

6. Implications (Financial and Legal if appropriate)

The legal and financial implications of re-commissioning these services include compliance with European Union procurement law. The contractual value of the services is in excess of EUR 750,000, which means that we are covered by the "Light Touch" regime set out in the Public Contracts Regulations 2015, regulations 74,75 and 76.

The financial value of the services exceeds the level which requires a key decision by the mayor. The Programme Timeline allows for this to take place in February, when the service model and specifications will be available.

7. Conclusions

The procurement process is running to the timeline (attached). This paper has summarised where we are in the process and the next steps.

8. Recommendations

The HWB is asked to note the contents of the plan and the proposed process and to comment on the proposed model for future sexual health services.

9. Appendices

Appendix A - Programme Timeline Appendix B - Service Model

Other documents which relate to this report

- Sexual Health Commissioning Plan
- BNSSG Sexual Health Service Specification

Appendix A - Programme timeline
PRE PROCUREMENT
PROCUREMENT

High level timelines - by week

High level timelines - by week																																							
	l			_				2015		T															_		016		tul I			_	_						
	Who	Ju			Aug		Sep	120 - 1	Oct	Nov		20 -	Dec	a I a a		an Tao Ta		Feb	22 22	Mar	11 122	Apr		May	2 22	Jun	0 07	Jul	125	Aug			Sep		Oct		lov	8 5 12 19	10 25
w/		6 13	20 27	3 1	0 17 2	24 31 7	14 21	28 5	12 19 2	6 2 9	16 23	30 7	14 2	1 28	4 11	18 2	5 1	8 15	22 29	7 14 2	21 28	4 11 1	18 25 1	2 9 16 2	23 30	6 13 2	0 27 4	11 18	25 1	8 15	22 29	9 5 1	19 26	3 10	17 24	31 7 1	.4 21 28	3 5 12 19	9 26
Agree project team structure Agree Collaborative Commissioning Agreement	Project group Project group					+		 	+	+++		_	+ +	+		+ +	+ +	-	+		+	+	+	+++	+ +	+	++	+	\vdash	+	+	+	+	++-	\vdash	++	++	+++	++
Consult on Sexual health commissioning Plan	Bristol LA												+ +			+ +	+ +		-		+		+	+ + +	+ +		++		\vdash		1 1	+				\leftarrow	++	+++	+
Conclude Needs assessments	Each LA/CCG				+			\vdash	+	1							1 1						+	1 1 1	1 1		++	+ + -			1 1	1 1				\leftarrow	+	+++	+
	Lottie Lawson				\top																			-															
	Simon Nelson										-													1 1 1					1 I							ı			
Complete Equality Impact Assessment	NS & CCGs - ?																																			$\sqcup \sqcup$	$\perp \! \! \perp \! \! \! \perp$		
Agree services in scope for consultation	Project group			\perp	\perp	\bot	\vdash	\vdash	\perp	+++	\dashv	_	++		\vdash	+	+	\perp	\perp	\rightarrow	\dashv	\perp	++	+++	++	\perp	++	+	Ш	+	$\perp \perp$	\perp	\perp	++	$oxed{oxed}$	$\vdash \vdash$	+	$\bot\bot\bot$	
entractor to the control of the cont	6			1 1							-																									ı			
Establish ideal whole service model - wishlist of changes and improvements	Specification working group			1 1							-																									ı			
changes and improvements	working group									1 1 1				\top		+	+ +	+ +	+				+	+ + +	+ +		++	++-	H		1 1	1 1			1 1	$\overline{}$	++	+++	+
Prioritise improvements - (most to least desirable	Specification			1 1							-																									ı			
service aspects)	working group																																			ш			
																																				$i \sqcup 1$			
Internal discussion re what level of improvements are											-																									ı			
viable given anticipated budgetary constraints and	F 1 A /CCC										-																									ı			
strategic position	Each LA/CCG Susan									+++		_	+ +	+		+ +	+ +	-	-		+	+	+	+++	+ +	+	++	+	\vdash	+	+	+	+	++-	\vdash	++	++	+++	+
Draft specification	Hamilton																																			$i \perp 1$			
Agree what the new service will look like	Project group		ΙT		1 1					 	廿		1			+	+		\neg		\top	\dashv	$\dashv \dagger$	 	1		 	 	\sqcap	1	1	1		$\dagger \dagger$		$\dashv \uparrow$	+	++	\Box
Agree pre-consultation specification	Project group																																			ш			Ш
		-]		$ ^{-}$		⁻			T]	[[-	$ ^{-}$					$i \perp \Box$			
Discuss different methods of procurement for the											-													1 1 1												ı			
service (tarif vs spec, quality/cost ratio, competitive dialogue, presentations, etc)	Procurement										-																									ı			
dialogue, presentations, etc)	working group				+						+			+		++	+				+	+	+	+++	+	++	++	++-	\vdash	++-	+	+++				\leftarrow	++	+++	+
	Project group,										-													1 1 1												ı			
	Pulse &										-																									ı			
Agree procurement method	internal boards																																						
																																				$i \perp 1$			
Discuss options for how the services are grouped by	_										-																									ı			
contract, i.e lots/frameworks/collaborative	Procurement										-																									ı			
expectations	working group			+	+					+++		_	+	+		+ +	+ +	-	-		+	+	+	+++	+ +	+	++	+	\vdash	+	+	+	+	++-	\vdash	++	++	+++	+
	Project group,										-																									ı			
Agree how the services are grouped, inc collaborative											-																									ı			
expectations	internal boards																																			ш			
Draft consultation plan	Comms group										$\perp \perp \downarrow$			\perp		\perp	\perp				$\perp \perp$	\perp	\perp	\bot	\perp	\perp	$\bot \bot$	$\perp \perp$	Ш		$\perp \perp$					\vdash	\bot	$\bot \bot \bot$	
											-																									ı			
	Project group,										-																									ı			
Agree consultation plan	Pulse & internal boards										-																									ı			
Begin consultation	Comms group				1 1																			1 1 1	1 1		++	1			1 1	1 1				\cap	+	+++	+
Warm the market event #1	KB/ML/KW																																			ш			
				I^{T}				- T								$ \top $		T					\Box				$ T^{-}$		[ι \sqcap			
Draft documents for tender - i.e quality questions,	Procurement																							1 1 1												ı			
scoring criteria, etc Agree tender documents	working group Project group			\vdash	++	+		+++	+	+++			\vdash	+		+	++		-		+	++	++	+++	++	+	++	+	╁	+	+	+		+	\vdash	++		+++	+
Mid consultation review of feedback	Comms group				+	++			+	+++	\dashv			+			+				+	+	+	+++	+	++	++	++-	\vdash	++-	+	+++		++		\leftarrow	++	+++	+
Consultation review of feedback	Comms group				1 1					1 1 1													+	1 1 1	1 1		++	+ + -			1 1	1 1				\leftarrow	+	+++	
	2 12				\top					 	$\dashv \dashv$	\neg	\top	\top		\top					$\dashv \dagger$		$\neg \vdash$	\neg	1	\top	<u> </u>	11		1	+	1				\Box \Box			\Box
Draft amendments to service model (how the service	Specification										-																									ı			
looks) following consultation	working group				\perp			$\sqcup \sqcup$	\perp	$\perp \perp \perp$	$\perp \perp \downarrow$			\perp		\perp	\perp				$\perp \perp$	\perp	\perp	\bot	\perp	\perp	$\bot \bot$	$\perp \perp$	Ш		$\perp \perp$					\vdash	\bot	$\bot \bot \bot$	
	Cnosifi																																			$\iota \perp \vdash \bot$			
Revise specification(s) if req'd following consultation	Specification										-																									ı			
Sign off on service model, spec, procurement approac				+	++	+		 	+	+ + +	++	_	+	+	\vdash	+	+ +					+	+	+++	++	+	++	++-	++	+	+	+++	++	++	\vdash	\leftarrow	++	+++	+
and any other document changes	Project group																																			$i \perp 1 \perp$			
Warm the market event #2	KB/ML/KW										╧							╧																					
Tender is advertised	КВ	\Box	டா			$\perp \Box$	$\Box \Box$	$\Box\Box$	$\perp \perp \perp$	$\perp \perp \perp$	\Box		$oxed{\Box}$	1	\Box	LΤ	ΙĪ	口			\Box		\Box T		$\perp \top$			$\perp \Gamma$	Щ	$\perp \perp$		$\perp \perp$		\Box	ЩП	\Box	\bot \Box	\coprod	Щ
Bidding period (Out to tender)	KB	igwdown	$\vdash \vdash$	\vdash	++	\perp	\vdash	$\sqcup \sqcup$	++	++	\dashv		++	\perp	$\vdash \vdash$	++	+	$\perp \perp$	\perp	\Box											++	+	\perp	+	$\sqcup \sqcup$	\vdash	+	+++	Щ.
Evaluation of bids (panels)	TBC	$\vdash \vdash$	$\vdash \vdash$	\vdash	++	++	\vdash	\vdash	++	+++	+		++	+	$\vdash \vdash$	+	+	\dashv	\dashv	\vdash	+	++	++	+++	++	++					+	++	++	+	$\vdash\vdash$	++	++	+++	+
Shortlisting of bidders Follow up dialogue with bidders if req'd	KB KB	\vdash	$\vdash\vdash$	\vdash	++	++	\vdash	++	++	++	+	_	++	+	\vdash	++	++	+	+	- - 	++	++	++	+++	++	++	++	++-	\vdash				+	++	++	++	++	+++	+
Follow up dialogue with bidders if req'd Presentations (if desired)	KB KB	$\vdash \vdash$	\vdash	+	++	++	\vdash	 	++	+++	+	+	++	+	\vdash	+	++	+	+	++	++	++	++	+++	+	++	++	+	\vdash	++			+	++	$\vdash\vdash$	+	++	+++	+
Preferred bidder is identified	KB		$\vdash \vdash$	+	++	++	 	 	++	+++	+	+	++	+	 	++	++	+	\dashv	 	++	+	+	+++	++	++	++	++-	+	+ +			++	++	\vdash	+	++	+++	+
Contract is awarded	KB		\vdash		+	++-			+	 	\dashv	\dashv	++			++	+	+	\top	 	$\dashv \dagger$	+	+	 	++	+	++	+ + -	\vdash	++	+ +			+		+	++	+++	\forall
Mobilisation of service	TBC		\vdash	\vdash	11				\neg	 	\dashv		 			+	11		\neg		11	\dashv	$\dashv \vdash$	 	1		 	 	\sqcap	 	1	1 -	Mid Sep	otember 2	2016 - en	d March 20	017 - (6.5	months)	
-		-	•	•				-						-			•		•						•		•		-										

Appendix B - Sexual Health Outline Service Model (Draft)

System Leadership

Clinical and systems leadership
Pathway development
Research and evaluation
Single IT system and brand
Clinical governance
Training and capacity building
Sustained focus on prevention, young
people and vulnerable groups
Performance management
Appointment booking
Remote consultations
Social marketing
Outcome tracking

Community based services

Level 1 & 2 provision for routine cases
Equitable access in each Local
Authority
Clinical outreach services
Holistic provision of care
Brief interventions
Chlamydia Testing
Prevention & Self-management
Accessible to vulnerable groups
Point of Care testing
Health promotion and prevention.
Proactive partnership working
C-card scheme
Clinical training
Brief interventions

Specialist sexual health services

Level 3 for complex STI and contraception
Partner notification
1 physical base in each of North Somerset,
South Glos and Bristol.
Brief interventions
Active outreach to vulnerable groups

Termination of Pregnancy

Clinical assessment
Booking
Medical and
surgical procedures
Contraceptive
follow-up